UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,010	10/19/2004	Erik Johnsson	35301	8399
23589 7590 01/18/2008 HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP		EXAMINER		
10801 Mastin E	Blvd., Suite 1000		VALENTI, ANDREA M	
Overland Park,	KS 66210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/512,010	JOHNSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ANDREA M. VAL		<u> </u>			
Period for Reply		,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe will apply and will expire to, cause the application to	DMMUNICATION.  ever, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	.•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>ovember 2007</u> .					
·—	, <del>_</del>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from consider ejected.	ation.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) obj drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	l in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ★ All b) ★ Some * c) ★ None of:  1. ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ★ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/512,010

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-11, 23-26, 29-31 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to U.S. Patent Pub. No. US 2002/0185071 and U.S. Patent No. 3,556,053. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 23, 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0185071 to Guo in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,556,053 to Padman et al.

Regarding Claims 1 and 31, Guo teaches a milking stall comprising: a milking machine to be attached to the teats of the animal to be milked (Guo paragraph [0005]); and a device separate from and fluidically remote from said milking machine for cleaning the teats of an animal, including at least one first conduit member (Guo Fig.1 #16 and 18) and at least one teat-cleaning member (Guo #20), which is connectable via the first conduit member to a central arrangement for supplying cleaning liquid and discharging waste liquid. Essentially Guo teaches a separate teat cleaning apparatus that is used prior to a milking operation that has a first conduit that consists of a supply line and discharge line (Guo #16 and #18) connected to a central arrangement. Guo is

silent on a plurality of teat cleaning devices running off of one system. However, Padman teaches that the configuration of functioning multiple teat devices via a claw/manifold is old and notoriously well-known. In other words, Padman teaches a plurality of teat cup devices with a first conduit (Padman Fig.1 #14 and 13) connected to a central arrangement; the teat member includes at least two teat cups (Padman Fig. 1 #11) to be applied to a respective teat of the animal, a conduit assembly (Padman #12) and two second conduit members (Padman Fig. 1 members connecting the teat member to element #12) extending between a respective one of the teat-cleaning cups and the conduit assembly, and wherein each teat-cleaning cup is connected to the central arrangement via the respective second conduit members and the first conduit member wherein the supply conduit of each second conduit member is connected to the supply conduit of the first conduit member, and that the discharge conduit of each second conduit member is connected to the discharge conduit of the first conduit member. Thus, Guo teaches the teat cleaning cup and system that is fluidaically separate from the milking system, but is silent on operating multiple teat cleaning cups off of one system. Padman teaches a milking stall, milking machine and a plurality of teat cups, and the old and notoriously well-known manifold set-up for operation of multiple teat cleaning devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Guo with the teachings of Padman at the time of the invention since the modification is merely applying a known technique to a known device ready yielding predictable results. The modification is merely the duplication of

Application/Control Number: 10/512,010

Art Unit: 3643

---

a known element i.e. milking stall and teatcups for a multiple effect for a more efficient operation of the system.

Regarding Claim 2, Guo as modified teaches wherein the central arrangement is arranged to discharge the waste liquid by applying a pressure significantly lower than the atmospheric pressure to the teat-cleaning cups via the conduit members (Guo paragraph [0027]).

Regarding Claim 4, Guo as modified teaches the central <u>arrangement</u> is arranged to perform the supplying of cleaning liquid and the discharging of waste liquid simultaneously (Guo arrangement of #16 and 18).

Regarding Claim 5, Guo as modified teaches the conduit assembly includes a connection member, which connects each second conduit member to the first conduit member (Padman #12).

Regarding Claim 7, Guo as modified teaches the conduit assembly includes a connection member arranged to hold the conduit members together (Guo #12).

Regarding Claim 9, Guo as modified teaches each second conduit member is relatively rigid permitting the second conduit member and the associated teat- cleaning cup to extend in an upward direction (Padman Fig. 1 members between #11 and #12 are "relatively rigid").

Regarding Claim 10, Guo as modified teaches the teat-cleaning member includes a grip member permitting holding of the teat-cleaning member (Guo #50).

Regarding Claim 23, Guo as modified teaches each teat-cleaning cup includes a lower end, an upper end and an inner space, the upper end defining an opening for the introduction of the teat to be cleaned into the inner space (Guo Fig. 6).

Regarding Claim 26, Guo as modified teaches the teat-cleaning device includes a retracting member arranged for retracting the teat-cleaning member from the teats of the animal after the cleaning operation is finished (Padman Col. 1 line 61-62).

Claims 24, 25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0185071 to Guo in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,556,053 to Padman et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of European Patent EP 0207572 to Van der Lely.

Regarding Claims 24 and 25, Guo as modified teaches each teat-cleaning cup includes a flexible lip extending around the opening and inwardly towards a center of the opening in order to abut tightly the teat introduced into the inner space of the teat-cleaning cup; wherein the lip slopes downwards towards the center of the opening (Guo Fig. 7 #70). Guo as modified is silent on the lip is flexible and slopes downwardly; however, Van der Lely teaches a teat cup cleaning lip that is flexible and slopes downwardly (Van der Lely Fig. 1 #19 and page 9 line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the teachings of Guo with the teachings of Van der Laly at the time of the invention since the modification is merely the simple substitution of a known alternate teat cup lip yielding predictable results for comfort of the animal and a better fit.

Regarding Claim 29, Guo as modified teaches a plurality of milking stalls, but is silent on the configuration of the milking stall. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the teachings of Guo at the time of the invention since the modification is merely a duplication of a known element for a multiple effect and the configuration is merely an engineering design choice involving the selection of a known milking stall configuration to efficiently meet the needs of the farmer depending on the size of the herd and space constraints [In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 671,124 USPQ 378, 380(CCPA 1990)].

Regarding Claim 30, Guo as modified teaches a pneumatic application of the teat cups (Van der Lely #9), but is silent on explicitly teaching it is automatic. However, it is old and well-known to provide automatic milking equipment for large scale dairy farms to reduce labor for fast and efficient operations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the teachings of Guo at the time of the invention since the engineering design choice of automating a system is an obvious modification for one of ordinary skill in the art for efficient operation [In re Venner, 262 F.2d 91,95, 120 USPQ 192, 194 (CCPA 1958)].

Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0185071 to Guo in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,556,053 to Padman et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,586,518 to Carrano.

Regarding Claim 8, Guo as modified is silent on the teat-cleaning member includes a casing enclosing the connection member and having a first opening for the passage of the first conduit member and at least two second openings for the passage of a respective one of the second conduit members. However, Carrano teaches it is known to disguise the connections of conduit members via the claw member (Carrano #36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the teachings of Guo with the teachings of Carrano at the time of the invention since the modification is merely a safety measure and aesthetic measure to protect the connections from becoming accidentally disconnected and to give a clean aesthetic appearance.

Regarding Claim 11, Guo as modified teaches the teat-cleaning member includes a grip member permitting holding of the teat-cleaning member and wherein the grip member is provided on the casing (Carrano #94).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-11, 23-26, 29-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREA M. VALENTI whose telephone number is (571)272-6895. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-5:30pm M-Th.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,010 Page 8

Art Unit: 3643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrea M. Valenti/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643

16 January 2008